NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY

PROGRAMME EVALUATION FORM

P 988: Conference on the use of Court Room Technology in the High Court

Programme Coordinator: Mr. Yogesh Pratap Singh, Research Fellow, NJA
Number of Participants: 30
No of forms received: 27

	PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
I. OVERALL					
a.	The objective of the Program was clear to me	59.26	40.74	-	
b.	The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	40.74	59.26	-	
c.	Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	51.85	48.15	-	
d.	I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	56.00	44.00	-	
e.	Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	67.86	32.14	-	
II. KNOWLEDGE					
	PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:					
a.	Useful to my work	44.44	55.56	-	
b.	Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	20.83	66.67	12.50	
c.	Up to date	50.00	50.00	-	

PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	39.13	30.43	30.43	
e. Related to International Legal Norms	29.17	50.00	20.83	
III STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITIONS	**To a great extent**	**To some extent**	**Not at all**	**Remarks**
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical				
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz. Interactive Session	37.50	62.50	-	
Audio Visual Aids	48.00	52.00	-	
Practical demonstration	36.00	60.00	4.00	
Video presentation	60.00	40.00	-	
Exchanging ideas	58.33	41.67	-	
IV. INDIVIDUAL SESSIONS				
PROPOSITIONS	**To a great extent**	**To some extent**	**Not at all**	**Remarks**
a. Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized	51.85	48.15	-	
b. The session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	74.07	25.93	-	
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITIONS	**To a great extent**	**To some extent**	**Not at all**	**Remarks**
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	44.44	56.56	-	
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	48.15	51.85	-	
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	43.75	56.25	-	

	VI. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS
a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	1. 1. Expansion of knowledge. 2. Comprehension of writs involved. 3. Vision of solving problems.
	2. Learnt a lot about technology, input and wisdom. Informative.
	3. 1. Kind of software to be used. 2. Security measures to be taken. 3. Need of Security.
	4. Participant did not comment.
	5. Participant did not comment.
	6. Participant did not comment.
	7. Participant did not comment.
	8. Use of computer technology in dispensation of fast and effective justice. 2. Learnt security for the computer equipment. 3. Interaction with Hon'ble Judges of different High Court.
	9. 1. Utilization of technology for working in High Court. 2. Technology for transparency/ convenient to litigants. 3. Speedy justice via technology.
	10. 1. Use of Technology in the Court processes. 2. Need for security while using technology. 3. Exposure to latest technology.
	11. Change of mind set vis- a- vis the subject. 2. The challenge. 3. The solutions.
	12. Technology useful but also there is a high risk, in different areas.
	13. Information on cyber forensics. 2. Insight of rearrangement of technical cadre of manpower.
	14. 1. E- Court. 2. Digitization of documents. 3. Access to Documents / E- mails & judgments. Transfer of data
	15. Participant did not comment.
	16. I know about e-committee importance in implementing programme; The learning could be utilized in the state of Tamil Nadu.
	17. Focusing sharply on existing issues; Sharing how one should respond; Useful tips on tackling crisis situation.
	18. Technology and Security related issue.
	19. Information, to implement and easy accessibility.
	20. Use of information and communication technology.

	21. Participant did not comment 22. 1. Such programmes may be conducted for officers of Subordinate Courts; 2. Similar programme can be conducted again; 3. There should be separate sessions for Security. 23. Will help in reviewing the Cyber security measures; Will help in determining relevant information to other judicial officers; Will provide better sense of working in E environment. 24. Participant did not comment. 25. Gave an insight into various aspects of Court Room Technology. 26. The need to update on use of computer and Network and safety measures. 27. 1. Utilization of Technology; 2. Use of the same for judicial process; 3. Practical approach while utilizing or implementing the Law.
b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	1. Whole. 2. All. 3. Use of Computer technology in the administration of Justice. 4. Participant did not comment. 5. Cyber Security and IPR. 6. Participant did not comment. 7. Participant did not comment. 8. Every part. 9. Computer program by Delhi High Court. 10. Technology and security related issues. 11. Presentation on the threat to Courts Security System. 12. Inputs by Justice Sachdeva. 13. Information Technology & computer forensics. Relevant for discharging official duty. 14. Interact usage / precautions. 15. Participant did not comment. 16. All. 17. The interaction with colleagues and resource persons- the exchange of ideas. 18. Participant did not comment.

	19. Forensic
	20. All
	21. Participant did not comment
	22. Participant did not comment
	23. NJDG System; Cyber Security.
	24. Participant did not comment
	25. Information and Technology forensic
	26. All
	27. The session relating to Technology and Security related issues was informative and can be implemented. Justice Sachdeva's presentation was beautiful.
c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why	1. Participant did not comment. 2. All valuable. 3. Participant did not comment. 4. Participant did not comment. 5. *Session 5th- Information Technology in Law with focus on Cyber security and IPR.* 6. Participant did not comment. 7. Participant did not comment. 8. Participant did not comment. 9. Participant did not comment. 10. Participant did not comment. 11. Participant did not comment. 12. Pvt. Resource Persons were to technical. 13. None. 14. None. 15. Participant did not comment. 16. Participant did not comment 17. The background and context in which the discussion is taking place. 18. Participant did not comment. 19. Nothing in particular 20. None

	21. Participant did not comment
	23. Digital signature process
	24. Participant did not comment
	25. Uniform nomenclature
	26. None
	27. Nomenclature and Digital Signature
d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective	1. Participant did not comment.
	2. Very good, keep it up.
	3. Frequent organization of such programme is needed.
	4. Participant did not comment.
	5. Participant did not comment.
	6. Participant did not comment.
	7. Participant did not comment.
	8. Participant did not comment.
	9. Lectures on information which is more helpful to Court judges should be arranged.
	10. In this program, the vision of the Government is not explained. The future of the use of the technology at all levels throughout the country is not settled.
	11. Circulation of Reading material much in advance. Better Clarification / elucidation of the topics.
	12. Resource Persons from Govt organizations like NIC, C- DAC, GOI Dept. of Technology, IIT should have been invited. Lawyers and Pvt. Participation of Resource Persons should be thought about more particularly when it is for High Court Justices.
	13. Good Programme, keep it up.
	14. No suggestion required.
	15. Participant did not comment.
	16. Useful program, and this can be organized for proper implementation of new schemes.
	17. Another component of electronic evidence – collection, preservation, retrieval and proof of electronic evidence.
	18. The Conference should be organized at the Trial Court level as well.

	19. Participant did not comment.
	20. All- well.
	21. Participant did not comment
	22. Participant did not comment
	23. Before each session summary about the discussion proposed for the session with the bullet points will help in properly using the time.
	24. Participant did not comment
	25. At least don't mention that the spouses cannot accompany. That is simply being rude.
	26. Participant did not comment.
	27. Resource persons from Government organization should be called.